

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Allies of MMISSE MER FOR PATENTS FOR For 149 Mexicaliza, Jinnas 22313-148 www.uspor.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09.890,127	07.27.2001	Makete Kai	OGOH:086	5977	
74	90 08 (2 2003				
Parkhurst & Wendel			EXAMINER		
Suite 210 1421 Prince Str	* * *		PHINNEY, JASON R		
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			ART UNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	2879	

DATE MAILED: 08-12-2003

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					K\ _			
1		Application No.	A	pplicant(s)				
		09/890,127	K	ALET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Aı	rt Unit				
		Jason Phinney		379				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corre	espondence add	iress			
THE - External after - If th - If No - Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 for SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe by within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely f imum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the r b become ABANDONED (3	filed be considered timely mailing date of this col 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 /	<u>May 2003</u> .						
2a) ⊡	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is			
	tion of Claims	I' '						
4)[-1	Claim(s) <u>1,7,9 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the	• •	otion					
5)[_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wii iioiii considei	auon.					
· · ·								
	Claim(s) <u>7 and 11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment					
	tion Papers	or election require	TICIN.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2001 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	ed b) disapproved	j by the Examine	: Γ.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office ac	tion.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	l) or (f).				
a))⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	n this National S	Stage			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (t	o a provisional	application).			
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachme	-	•						
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	4) 5) 5 and 6 . 6)	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pate Other:					
C Detect 4	Imdamed Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/890,127

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment, filed on 5/27/03, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 2-6, 8, and 10 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,684,908 to Beese.

Beese discloses a lamp device (Figure 1, #12) comprised of a high pressure mercury vapor discharge lamp (Column 2, Lines 19-23), with an arc tube (#11) enclosing luminescent materials (Column 2, Lines 19-23) and having a pair of electrodes (#'s 17 and 18) and a pair of sealed portions extending from the arc tube (#'s 15 and 16). Beese further discloses that the lamp device should comprise a reflector (#23) and a transparent member (#21) covering an end of the reflector with the discharge lamp in the space between the transparent member and the reflector. Beese further discloses that the space between the transparent member and the reflector should be hermetically sealed (Column 2, Lines 54-56) and that one of the sealed portions should be integral with the transparent member (See figure 1, #'s 15 and 21).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,127 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,684,908 to Beese.

Regarding claim 11, Beese discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation that the internal pressure should be approximately 200 atmospheres. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to make the mercury vapor lamp such that the internal pressure should be approximately 200 atmospheres, since discovering an optimum value of a result variable is considered within the skills of the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1 and 9 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 09/890,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 1, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 1, and specifically comprising the limitation that the lamp should comprise a heat absorbing part wrapped around the entire length of a first sealed portion and a plate like heat channeling part for channeling heat from the heat absorbing part to a space external to the reflector wherein a surface of the channeling part is perpendicular to the transparent member.

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 1 because of its dependency status from claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

9. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claims 12 and 13, and specifically comprising the limitation that the lamp should include the cooling means set forth in the specification.

Conclusion

. Application/Control Number: 09/890,127

Art Unit: 2879

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Phinney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,127

Art Unit: 2879

JP July 21, 2003

Page 6